



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,445	11/19/2003	Sandeep Bhatia	CA7035962001	9844

23639 7590 09/28/2007
BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067

EXAMINER

TABONE JR, JOHN J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2117

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/28/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/718,445

Applicant(s)

BHATIA, SANDEEP

Examiner

John J. Tabone, Jr.

Art Unit

2117

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John J. Tabone, Jr.

(3) _____

(2) Attorney Jasper Kwoh.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 09/12/07

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 6, 7, 11 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Masatake (JP-2003-202362).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Jacques Louis-Jacque
JACQUES LOUIS-JACQUE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

John J. Tabone, Jr. 9/12/07
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Kwoh contacted Examiner Tabone on 9/12/2007 in regards to the Non-final rejection of 6/6/07. Attorney discussed Examiner's arguments concerning the directly connected clock signal to the scan chain. Examiner explained the reasons the prior art Fig. 1 and 2 read on the claims. Attorney also discussed dependent claims 6-7, namely the claimed lockup register, and how it may be allowable if brought into the independent claims. Examiner explained that these claims are also broad and that any amendment should be specific enough that a 103 rejection could not be applied by the Examiner. Attorney will further look over the specification for possible allowable subject matter and how the lockup registers can be more specifically claimed.